



**MSC Contributor Form**

**To be used to provide information about contributors of comments  
on MSC documents published for public consultation**

<b>Person: Full name</b>	
<b>First name:</b> Gunnar	
<b>Last name:</b> Norén	
Title: Executive Secretary	
<b>On behalf of (organisation, company, government agency, etc.) – if applicable</b>	
<b>Organisation:</b>	<b>Coalition Clean Baltic</b> <i>Please enter the legal or registered name of your organisation or company.</i>
Department:	
<b>Position:</b>	<i>Please indicate the position or function you exert within your organisation or company.</i>
Executive Secretary	
<b>Description:</b>	<i>Please provide a short description of your organization</i>
A network of 25 environmental organizations in 11 countries within the Baltic Sea drainage. Major priorities: 1) promotion of good ecological water status, 2) prevention of installations and transports harmful to the Baltic Sea environment, and 3) development of sustainable Baltic Sea fisheries.	
<b>Mailing address</b>	<b>Phone, fax, email &amp; internet</b>

*Document:* **MSC Procedure for issuing documents for consultation**

*Author:* AN *Approved by:* AM

*Version:* 0.1 *Date:* 8 March 2010 *Confidentiality:* Public

Coalition Clean Baltic

Östra Ågatan 53

SE-753 22 Uppsala

Sweden§

**Country:** Sweden

Tel: +46 18 711170

Mob: +46 70 560 53 52

Fax: +

Email: [gunnar.noren@ccb.se](mailto:gunnar.noren@ccb.se)

www: [www.ccb.se](http://www.ccb.se)

**MSC relationship** (if applicable)

**MSC-accredited Certification Body**

Name:

**MSC Certificate Holder**

Name:

Certificate number:

**Type of contributor**

**Organisation**

Private company or corporation

Industry association

Non-profit organisation (X)

Trade association

Government or local authority

Other:

**Individual**

Background:

**Future Consultations-** I would like to receive consultation information on:

Subsequent public drafts of this document

All fisheries documents

All Chain of Custody documents

All Logo Licensing documents



criteria in Appendix 2, and should thus be included in Appendix 1? Are the criteria for identifying key LTL species (Appendix 2) appropriate?			
3. Are the requirements clearly framed on the management expectations for key LTL species? Is additional guidance needed on any points?	T	Herring and sprat have management rules, but those are not yet correlated to cod management in the Baltic Sea. This must be changed if we are to achieve ecosystem based management, which should also be a fundament of MSC methodology.	The comment to the left of this box means that management of the main LTL species in the Baltic Sea (herring and sprat) must be coordinated with the growth of the cod stock to a level which is sustainable for all three species.
Please provide any additional comments not covered by the above consultation questions in the rows below. These may include general comments on the consultation or other ways by which the requirements could be specified to improve consistency of use, or ease of understanding. Add rows as needed.			
	G	CCB find it imperative that MSC change the assessment methodology, or at least start the process of changing it, to phase out harmful subsidies when assessing sustainable fisheries.	
	G	CCB propose that MSC set limits for gear selectivity to provide better conditions for sustained stock size and composition and thus for more sustainable fisheries.	
	G	CCB advise MSC that their credibility would rise substantially if an SG of 80 is the lowest permissible level for certification, not that this level would be reached only in the near future by various conditions.	
